Demographics of Kenyan dentists under the predevolved system of government; a dental workforce study.
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The main aim of this study was to analyse the dental workforce in Kenya prior to the decentralization of health workforce management to county governments in 2013.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study of Kenyan dentists who were practising as at 2013. Demographic data was obtained from the Kenya Medical Practitioners and Dentists Board and the Ministry of Health annual retention registers. These data were integrated and analysed in Microsoft Excel 2016. All tests for significance were set at 95% confidence level (p ≤0.05).

Results: Most of the dentists studied were male (55.6%) and more than half (54.5%) were below the age of 40 years (M=39.8, SD=11.9). A majority (79.3%) were general practitioners and approximately half (50.8%) were involved in private practice. Whereas, 76% had received local undergraduate training, many specialists (51.2%) held foreign degrees.

Conclusion: There is urgent need for Kenya to develop strategies that will increase and retain the number of dentists and specialists. It remains to be seen whether the creation of a decentralised system of government will address existing shortages as well as the age, gender and speciality imbalances affecting the dental workforce.
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INTRODUCTION: Human resources for health is one of the major issues of concern in healthcare service provision globally. Kenya happens to be among the 36 sub-Saharan Africa countries experiencing severe shortages of health personnel. Based on government reports, the country is currently surviving on 20 physicians for every 100,000 people with several areas experiencing critical levels of geographical, gender and skill mix maldistribution. The bulk of these health workers are heavily concentrated in urban areas where only a third of the population reside. Consequently, rural poor and many peri-urban dwellers continue to be the victims of maldistribution and inaccessibility of health services.
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will hopefully inform workforce planning and policy development in oral health care service delivery in the current devolution era.

METHODS
This was a descriptive cross-sectional study involving dental practitioners in Kenya as at 2013. The main workforce data was directly obtained with written permission from the Kenya Medical Practitioners and Dentists Board (KMPDB), as well as the Ministry of Health.

Dentists met the inclusion criteria if they were practicing as at 2013. This included all those listed in the 2013 Ministry of Health register and/or those listed in the KMPDB retention registers of 2014-16 (medicalboard.co.ke/online-services/retention/) but whose year of registration was 2012 or earlier. The two registers were used to form an integrated database for this study; dentists on internship or who graduated in 2013 were excluded.

For both data collation and statistical analysis, data were systematically entered in Excel (Version 2016 Microsoft, Redmount USA). The variables analysed included the gender; age; year of the first qualification; country of training; the level of training; speciality and sector (private or public) of practice. Where certain variables were missing, and this was identified, statistical analysis was adjusted on a variable-by-variable basis to accommodate these missing data elements. For instance, age data was only available and analysed for 674 out of the 737 dentists included in this study. All tests for significance were set at 95% confidence level ($p \leq 0.05$).

RESULTS
In total, 737 out of the estimated 1000 registered dentists in 2013 (approximately three quarters) were included in the integrated register.

**Gender and Age:** The number of dental practitioners qualifying annually has increased from just 6 in the 1970s to about 270 in the period between 2000 to 2009. Out of the 737 dentists included in this study, 410 (55.6%) were male and 327 (44.4%) were female. Despite the overall higher proportion of males, the results show that the percentage of male dentists vis-a-vis that of females graduating every year has gradually declined; from an average of 88.2% in the 70s to 58% in the 1990s. By the period between 2000 to 2009, on average, slightly more females (51%) than males (49%) were graduating. A similar trend was evident among dentists qualifying with postgraduate studies; the number of females increased from zero percent in the 1970s to 50% in the 2000s and eventually surpassed that of males by 4.4% in the 2010s. In terms of age, the mean overall age was 39.8 years ($n=674$), with males being older (M =41.5, SD=12.4) than females (M=37.7, SD=10.9) ($t$ test=$4.130$, $P<0.05$). There was an increasing number of female dentists with decreasing age. (Table 1).

**Training:** The majority of the 737 dentists had only an undergraduate degree (79.3%, $n=585$), while 18.5% and 2.2% had second and third degrees respectively. Although most of the Bachelor of Dental Surgery (BDS) degrees were acquired in local universities (76%), a significant proportion (24%) held foreign degrees, with the most common overseas destinations being India (10.4%) and UK (3.4%). More than half (54.8%) of those with postgraduate degrees trained abroad, predominantly in the UK (29.5%), South Africa (7.5%), and the USA (6.2%). However, there has been a downward trend in overseas training since the 1990s. (Fig. 1 illustrates the postgraduate trends based on the country of training).

**Dental Specialists:** A total of 138 dental and non-dental specialists were identified with at least 2 dentists having more than one area of specialisation. Of these, the largest speciality group was Public Health (24%), followed by Oral Maxillofacial Surgery (21%) and Paedodontics (15%). Another 8% and 9% were Periodontists and Prosthetists respectively, while restorative specialists and orthodontists each made up 6% of the specialist workforce. There were five Oral Pathologists (4%) and three Biomaterial specialists (2%). Dental Public Health, Oral Maxillofacial Radiology (OMFR) and Endodontics each comprised 1% or less of the dental specialist workforce. From the results, dental specialists had a mean age of 46.4 years ($n=136$, SD=9.1), which was significantly higher than that of the entire dentist population (39.8 years) ($P<0.05$) ($t$ test=$8.386$, $P<0.05$). There was also a greater number of male specialists (57%) compared to females (43%). Although females comprised most Public Health specialists (63.6%), Paedodontists (67%) and Biomaterials specialists (100%), most of the other fields including Oral Maxillofacial Surgery (80%), Periodontology (82%), Orthodontics (75%) and Prosthetics (61.5%) were male dominated. (Table 2 shows a summary of the age distribution and mean...
**Table 1: The distribution of dentists by Age group and gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE(YRS)</th>
<th>Female n (%)</th>
<th>Male n (%)</th>
<th>ALL n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>89 (55.3)</td>
<td>72 (44.7)</td>
<td>161 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>89 (43)</td>
<td>118 (57)</td>
<td>207 (30.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>72 (45.6)</td>
<td>86 (54.4)</td>
<td>158 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>42 (42)</td>
<td>58 (58)</td>
<td>100 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
<td>35 (83.3)</td>
<td>42 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>6 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301 (44.7)</td>
<td>373 (55.3)</td>
<td>674 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Age distribution of dentists in each specialty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIALITY</th>
<th>AGE (YRS)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>ALL (n)</th>
<th>MEAN AGE(YRS.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>70-79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURGERY</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORAL PATHOLOGY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORTHODONTICS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEDODONTICS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERIODONTOLOGY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSTHETICS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC HEALTH/DPH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTORATIVE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOMATERIALS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL n (%)</td>
<td>33(24.2)</td>
<td>59(43.4)</td>
<td>27(19.9)</td>
<td>16(11.8)</td>
<td>10(7)</td>
<td>136(100)</td>
<td>46.4(SD=9.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other represents specialists in Cytology (n=2), Endodontics (n=1) and Maxillofacial Radiology (n=1)
Table 3: The Distribution of Dental Specialists by Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIALITY</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOMATERIALS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYTOLOGY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDODONTICS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMAN NUTRITION</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXILLOFACIAL RADIOLOGY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORAL/MAXILLOFACIAL SURG.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORAL PATHOLOGY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORTHODONTICS</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEDODONTICS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERIODONTOLOGY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSTHETICS/PROSTHODONTICS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC HEALTH</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTORATIVE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Local versus Overseas Postgraduate (Diplomas, Masters and PhD) Training Trends.
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#### Table 1: Age Distribution of Kenyan Dentists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in Decade</th>
<th>BOTH (%)</th>
<th>PUBLIC (%)</th>
<th>PRIVATE (%)</th>
<th>ALL (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>60-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Figure 2: Dentists in the Different Sectors by Age Groups
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#### Figure 3: Years in Practice by Gender
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- **YEARS IN PRACTICE**

- **DENTISTS (%)**

- **1-10**: 56
- **11-20**: 48
- **21-30**: 42
- **31-40**: 18
- **41-50**: 20
- **41-50**: 19
- **41-50**: 19
- **41-50**: 16
- **41-50**: 11
- **41-50**: 4
- **41-50**: 1
- **41-50**: 3
- **41-50**: 2
By training, more than half of dental specialists (51.2%) qualified in foreign countries, predominantly in the UK (35.3%). Most of the Oral Maxillofacial surgeons (61%), Paedodontists (76%), and Public Health specialists (74%) trained locally, whereas no specialist in Oral Pathology, Biomaterials, DPH, Endodontics, Radiology, Orthodontics and Restorative dentistry trained in Kenya.

**Practise characteristics:** From this study, 43.4% and 41.6% of all active dentists (n=560) were solely in the private sector and public sector respectively. The remaining 15% were practising in both sectors simultaneously. On the other hand, a larger majority of the specialist dental workforce (71%, n=94) were in either part-time (52%) or full-time (48%) private practice compared to only 29% in the public sector. In terms of gender, there was a higher percentage of male dentists in both public (54.1%) and private (61.6%) sectors but overall, the difference was not statistically significant (chi square test, P<0.05). The mean age of dentists in private sector (45.9yrs, SD=12.4) was significantly higher than of those in the public sector (34.6, SD=8.1) (t test, P<0.05) Fig. 2 shows the age comparison by sector. Overall, close to half (48%) of the dentists had practiced for 10 years or less with 16% of men having practiced for more than thirty years compared to only 4% of the women. Fig.3 illustrates the years of practice by gender.

**DISCUSSION**

The upward trend in the overall number of dentists is attributable to an increase in the number of dentists qualifying every year. Undoubtedly, the rising number of dentists could not have come at a better time considering the high levels of oral disease as reported by the recent Kenya National Oral Health Survey (KNOHS). However, the overall growth has slowed down to just 5% annually and is yet to measure up with the present population oral health needs and the rapidly shifting population demographics. Currently, the estimated dentist to population ratio of 1:40,000 is well above the African average of 1:150,000, but it is still way below that of industrialised countries (1:2000) and the WHO minimum recommendation of 1:7000. As the number of dentists increases, it appears the gap between male and female practitioners has also been reducing. The present analysis has revealed that even though the profession is still male-dominated, there are a lot more Kenyan women taking up dentistry. Similar findings have been reported in several countries across different economic divide. In Nigeria, as at 2000, gender imbalances were found to be normalising and a complete balance was expected by 2015, while in the UK, it has been projected that females will make up more than half of the dentists by the year 2020. In a few countries such as Romania, female dentists significantly outnumber their male colleagues.

The feminisation of dentistry has come with several implications. For instance, women are more likely to work part-time, take longer career breaks to care for their families, handle less workload and are less endeared towards taking leadership positions compared to their male colleagues. Further investigations to determine these gender differences in Kenya and their impact on dental practice need to be undertaken. Based on the age analysis, it appears that there is a rise in the number of youthful dentists in Kenya. This implies that the country is unlikely to experience shortages due to aging as has been the case in many industrialised states. However, appropriate policies to retain and increase the number of dentists still need to be implemented in order to mitigate shortages that may result from emigrations, change of professions, early retirement and death, among others. Kenya, like a vast majority of its developing counterparts, is still faced with an acute scarcity of specialists across all dental fields. This is a pervasive problem affecting countries across the economic divide. In most of Europe, most dentists remain generalists with little or no postgraduate education and certain countries in the European Union (EU) have no official dental speciality; instead, dentists rely on continuous education and short courses to acquire specialised skills. Similarly, recent data indicate that up to 90% of dentists in Australia, 83% in Hong Kong and 76% in Shanghai, China are general practitioners. This phenomenon has been attributed to different factors. In one London study, where more than 80% of the respondents admitted that they would continue in general practice, time constraints, high costs, disruption of life, stringent requirements, and lack of interest were observed as some of the barriers to specialist training. For Kenya, further research is required to establish existing local barriers. Ultimately, the rise in untreated oral conditions among the Kenyan population can partly be attributed to the limited access to specialist care. In a profession that has been largely commercialised worldwide, dentists who choose to specialise are increasingly preferring the
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The relationship between gender and the choice of speciality was equally evident in the current findings. Male dentists continue to heavily dominate the majority of the speciality areas particularly OMFS, Orthodontics and Periodontology, while their female colleagues only dominated in Public health and Paediatric dentistry. These findings are comparable to those of a previous Kenyan study in which female medical students had a 5-fold chance of choosing Paediatrics due to their unique ability to handle children and were generally more disposed to choose controllable lifestyle specialities such as Public Health than their male compatriots. Similar findings have been demonstrated among dental specialists in Australia, the UK, the USA, and Puerto Rico among others. These unique qualities, if harnessed, can be valuable in enhancing primary oral health care, particularly among children. As regards the training of dentists and dental specialists, a third of the undergraduate degrees and more than half of the postgraduate degrees were acquired overseas. This compares well with Australia where in 2013, 25% of employed dentist had obtained their first degree abroad. In the USA, just like in Kenya, most of foreign-trained dentists qualified in India in the period between 2002-2005. Fortunately for Kenya, there is a gradual decline in foreign training. Despite the fact that overseas training offers international medical experiences that have many potential benefits to the individual and home country, it poses a huge financial burden and is beyond the reach of many in a lower-middle income country like Kenya. This is further aggravated by the fact that scholarships in dentistry, compared to many other non-clinical courses, are limited. Additionally, studies have shown that foreign-trained doctors are likely to work abroad or practice in bigger cities in search of better financial rewards and career development opportunities; a trend that only complicates the existing shortages and maldistribution of dentists in the country. There is need for Kenya to introduce more postgraduate programs that will attract both local and international applicants.

The study also established that Kenyan dentists are more likely to work in the private than public sector, with a distinct gender and age differences between the sectors. Female dentists were more likely to work in the public sector than their male counterparts, with a majority working as full-time employees of the Ministry of Health. Studies have shown women prefer the public sector due to the more flexible nature of work and are less likely than men to establish their own practice. Similarly, younger dentists dominated the public sector but as they become older with more experience and financial stability, they are likely to establish their own private practices, preferably in urban areas for better financial rewards and career satisfaction. Generally, the inadequate numbers of dentists in the public sector, coupled with the gender and age imbalances implies that most Kenyans, who heavily rely on the more affordable public services are greatly disadvantaged. This is especially true when it comes to accessing specialised dental care in rural and remote areas. Therefore, there is a great need for the government to offer more incentives if it is to retain dentists across all age groups within the public sector.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study indicate a rise in dental workforce numbers, but a dentist-to-population ratio still below WHO recommendations, a scarcity of specialists, and an existing age, gender and speciality maldistribution across Kenya. These findings should spur discussions on the role of women in dentistry and the importance of continuous dental workforce surveillance in mitigating existing and future inadequacies. It is recommended that some of these issues be addressed through the training, deployment, and retention of a gender-balanced, equally distributed dental workforce with adequate skill-mix. Additional qualitative research is needed if appropriate dental workforce strategies are to be implemented.
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